

Volume 47, No. 2, March-April 2025

Stakeholder Views on Novel Consent Forms for an Acute Stroke Trial

CANDACE D. SPEIGHT, OPEOLU M. ADEOYE, S. IRIS Davis, MICHAEL J. LINKE, ANDREA R. MITCHELL, AND NEAL W. DICKERT

Table 1. Consent Form Themes

Consent form	Consent form	
Theme	Quote	Participant
Length	"I think it's not as overwhelming. A lot of	Coordinator
	information is thrown at 'em really	
	quickly, and because it's so short and	
	concise, I just think it makes the idea of	
	wanting to be in research a lot easier to	
	grasp at the time."	
Essential content	"I feel like a lot of interventional trials are	Coordinator
	almost informational overload and the	
	length of the consent I feel like is	
	appropriate for the information that needs	
	to be relayed, but also respecting the time	
	constraints and not overloading the patient	
	with unnecessary information."	
	"The feedback in general is the MOST	PI
	consent form is much better, it's more	

	wieldy than consent forms that I've seen.	
	We have these huge fights with our IRB	
	'cause then they try to go back and add in	
	five more pages about the risk of the CT	
	scan or something that all the patients are	
	getting regardless of whether or not they're	
	in the trial. I do really appreciate that"	
	"This may not hew to our normal process	IRB/HRPP
	here, but are we hitting all of the elements	
	of consent that are appropriate for this	
	population at the appropriate time for this	
	population, and I appreciated the tailoring	
	of this process given the potentially urgent	
	environment and the use of a community	
	advisory committee to develop that."	
Clarity	"It's a dense study to take in at the	Coordinator
	beginning, but I think it does a pretty good	
	job of laying out the essentials in	
	understandable language."	
Organizational	"the way that it's laid out, and which	Coordinator
structure	things are either underlined or bolded or	
	indented in such a manner where it talks	
	about the specific arms of the drug It	
	stands out more than my other consents,	
Siructure	indented in such a manner where it talks about the specific arms of the drug It	

	which is just a whole bunch of words on	
	the paper that go on and on."	
	We didn't require a lot of changes, because	IRB/HRPP
	it was structured very well. So all we	
	needed was to just add in our local	
	language into it.	
How it is used in	"When I consent for MOST, I give a little	Coordinator
practice	debrief of what the study is before I	
	actually go into the consent so that they	
	can think about it, and if they want me to	
	explain further, then I'll go into the consent	
	form. By the time I'm done giving my	
	debrief, I've explained the majority of the	
	consent at that point, and then I just go	
	through the consent and hit those key	
	points that I have not already explained,	
	which typically end up being the very	
	specifics about how the drug's gonna be	
	run, the risks, the benefits, and who to	
	contact in case of emergencies, when we'll	
	see them in follow up, and some of those	
	key things."	
	"This has tables in it, and indentions, and	Coordinator
	bullet points, so when you're searching	
	I	1

	for—when someone asks you questions,	
	and you're searching for answers for the	
	consent to answer their questions, or if a	
	patient or a family member is like, 'Oh, I	
	wanted to talk to you about this. Let me	
	find this,' I've just noticed that me myself	
	other coordinators or family members can	
	flip through that easier because things just	
	pop out a lot easier in this consent versus	
	the other consents that I deal with. I think	
	that just has to do with the way the consent	
	looks."	

Table 2. Information Sheet Themes

Information sheet		
Theme	Quote	Participant
Detailed	"I do not think I would have had to rewrite.	IRB/HRPP
	It's very well thought out. It is explained	
	very well."	
Organizational	"I like the table that's in the sheet that	Coordinator
structure	has—especially when it stamps out when	
	the different visits are as well."	
Function compared	"Some people just don't even need that	Coordinator
to consent form	stuff. We give it to them, and then it's	

	never looked at again. Some people are	
	pretty invested in understanding what's	
	going on and stuff. I think, having that	
	take-home thing that's separate from the	
	consent form, that is specific for	
	information, not explanation of research	
	but information about the study 'cause I	
	think people get a 20-page consent form,	
	and they're like, 'This is all legal jargon. I	
	don't wanna read this.' Yeah. Instead, the	
	supplementary information is more like, it	
	has a table layout of everything they're	
	gonna need to know, which is, I think,	
	helpful for people 'cause I don't think	
	everyone's really inclined to read—nobody	
	reads the terms of agreement or anything."	
	"I actually agree with what you did, with	IRB/HRPP
	including it separateyou have to look at	
	the fact you have a 30-page consent form,	
	which in any condition no one's going to	
	understand or read through the entire	
	thing."	
How it is used in	"Usually, in our binders, I have three	Coordinator
practice	sleeves that the consent, the HIPAA, and	

the study info sheet goes in. I pull all those
out. Then once we're done signing the
consent form, I—if they are able to take the
paper—sometimes they don't want to
'cause they're going to get ushered back or
something, and I'll say, "I'll bring it to you
when I have a copy of your consent form."
always give them the option. Some people
don't want it immediately though."